

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



DATE MAILED: 10/27/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/672,208	09/26/2003	Jing-Yau Chung	JYC025 8964		
21322 7.	590 10/27/2004		EXAMINER		
MARK A OATHOUT 3701 KIRBY DRIVE, SUITE 960			VAN, QUANG T		
HOUSTON, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3742		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/672,208		CHUNG, JING-YAU				
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Quang T Var	า	3742			
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication app	pears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE MAILING DATE O - Extensions of time may be ava after SIX (6) MONTHS from the - If the period for reply specified - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set of	TORY PERIOD FOR REPLY F THIS COMMUNICATION. Ilable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 e mailing date of this communication. above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply ed above, the maximum statutory period w extended period for reply will, by statute, e later than three months after the mailing . See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, y within the statutor will apply and will e	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from the tion to become ABANDONEE	ely filed will be considered timel the mailing date of this co	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on 23 Au	<u>ugust 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FIN							
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above of 5) ☐ Claim(s) is 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is	10-19 is/are rejected.	wn from cons					
Application Papers							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) file Applicant may not r Replacement drawi	s objected to by the Examine ed on <u>26 September 2003</u> is/a equest that any objection to the ring sheet(s) including the correct ration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ acc drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	tent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da) Notice of Informal Pa) Other:	ate	O-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/672,208 Page 2

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al (US 5,134,263). Smith discloses a process and apparatus for heating food comprising a hot plate (22); a heating chamber (30) including a microwave mode (45) of heating wherein the heating chamber (30) is mounted over the hot plate (22); and it is inherent that a motor connected to the hot plate (22, conveyor) for moving the hot plate.
- 3. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lipoma (US 3,718,082). Lipoma discloses an apparatus for continuous electromagnetic sterilization comprising a hot plate (15); a heating chamber (21) including a microwave mode (22) of heating wherein the heating chamber (21) is mounted over the hot plate (15); and it is inherent that a motor connected to the hot plate (22, conveyor) for moving the hot plate.
- 4. Claims 1, 10-12, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chung (US 6,011,249). Chung discloses a microwave oven with hot plate and food stirrer comprising a hot plate (30); a heating chamber (10) including a microwave mode (20) of heating wherein the heating chamber (10) is mounted over the hot plate (30); and a motor connected to the hot plate (col. 2, lines 41-42) for moving the hot plate (30). With regard to claims 10 and 15, it is inherent that an on/off switch is connected to the motor in order to turn the motor on or off.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,208 Page 3

Art Unit: 3742

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 6. Smith et al (US 5,134,263) or Lipoma (US 3,718,082) in view of Ingram et al (US 3,881,403). Smith/Lipoma discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention except a first multi door mechanism mounted between the heating chamber and the hot plated proximate an entrance to the heating chamber and a second multi door mechanism mounted between the heating chamber and the hot plate proximate an exit way from the heating chamber. Ingram discloses a first multi door mechanism (G1, G2) mounted between the heating chamber (10) and the hot plated (24) proximate an entrance to the heating chamber and a second multi door mechanism (G3, G4) mounted between the heating chamber (10) and the hot plate (25, 28) proximate an exit way from the heating chamber. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Smith/Lipoma a first multi door mechanism mounted between the heating chamber and the hot plated proximate an entrance to the heating chamber and a second multi door mechanism mounted between the heating chamber and the hot plate proximate an exit way from the heating chamber as taught by Ingram in order to prevent the escape of microwave energy to the outside

Application/Control Number: 10/672,208

Art Unit: 3742

of the chamber. With regard claim 6, said first multi door mechanism is a first triple door mechanism and said second multi door mechanism is a second triple door mechanism. Ingram only shows first multi door mechanism is a first double door (G1, G2) and second multi door mechanism is a second double door mechanism (G3, G4). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify a first double door and a second double door mechanism of Ingram to be a first triple door mechanism and a second triple door mechanism. Doing so would provide a better preventing of leaking microwave energy to the outside of the heating chamber.

Page 4

- 7. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smith et al (US 5,134,263) or Lipoma (US 3,718,082) in view of Pinceloup (US 5,541,390). Smith/Lipoma discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention except the heating chamber is U-shaped when viewed from above. Pinceloup discloses a heating chamber is U-shaped when viewed from above (figure 1). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Smith/Lipoma a heating chamber is U-shaped when viewed from above as taught by Princeloup in order to have an entrance and exit in the same side-wall of the chamber.
- 8. Claims 2-3 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung (US 6,011,249) in view of Smith et al (US 5,134,263). Chung discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention except an air circulation system connected to the heating chamber. Smith discloses an air circulation system connected to the heating chamber (col. 6, lines 36-42). It would have been obvious to one having

Art Unit: 3742

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in Chung air circulation system connected to the heating chamber as taught by Smith in order to deliver the hot air evenly throughout the oven.

9. Claims 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chung (US 6,011,249) in view of Fadel (US 4,999,468). Chung discloses substantially all features of the claimed invention except a motor being connected to the lone axis of the plate. Fadel discloses a motor being connected to the lone axis of the plate (col. 2, lines 21-25). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize in a motor being connected to the lone axis of the plate as taught by Fadel in order to rotate the food through the entire heating chamber.

Response to Amendment

10. Applicant's arguments filed 8/23/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues "Smith et al disclose and teach only a conveyor 22 (col. 4, L. 37). A conveyor cannot be a hot plate (as defined above and shown in applicant's Figs. 1-3). Moreover, Smith et al's conveyor is not taught to be hot ..." recited in the Remarks of Response to the Office Action filed on 8/23/2004. The Examiner disagrees. By dictionary, a "plate" is a smooth flat thin piece of material (http://www.m-w.com/cgi-bin/dictionary?book=Dictionary&va=plate&x=16&y=14). In claim 1, applicant claims " a hot plate" with no further structural support and no heater device to provide heat to make the plate hot. For the reasonable broadest interpretation, "a hot plate" as claimed

Art Unit: 3742

is considered just as a plate, which is, supported a work piece. Therefore, Smith and Lipoma's references still meet the claimed limitations.

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quang T Van whose telephone number is 703-306-9162. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00Am 7:00Pm M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 703-305-5766. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/672,208

Art Unit: 3742

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

QV

October 21, 2004

Quang T Van

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3742